

## Interview Summary

Äpplication No. - 08/629,104

Applicant(s)

Lucky Sr.

Examiner

S. Joseph Morano

Group Art Unit 3103



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) S. Joseph Morano	(3)
(2) Frank M. Caprio	(4)
Date of Interview Dec 6, 1996	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 53 and 54	
Identification of prior art discussed:  n/a	
language from the specification, and agreed to allow the examiner to correct the drawing defects and amend the specification to coincide with the new figure 7.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	te record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is completed.	cluding any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the ensidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last ing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an att	S. JOSEPH MORANO PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3100

